FCC Received September 27, 1995 @ 3:00p.m.

CORRECTED

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
2	Dofous the				
3	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554				
4					
5					
6	IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL WT DOCKET NO. 95-26				
7					
8	Commercial Realty St. Pete, Inc.,				
9	James C. Hartley, Teresa Hartley, and Ralph E. Howe				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	DATE OF HEARING: September 12, 1995 VOLUME: 2				
25	PLACE OF HEARING: Washington, D.C. PAGES: 32 - 42				

1	Before the							
2	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554							
3	) DOCUMENT OF THE CORY OF CIMAL							
4	In the matter of:							
5	j							
6	James C. Hartley, Teresa Hartley,							
7	and Ralph E. Howe )							
8	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing							
9	pursuant to Notice before Arthur I. Steinberg, Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., in Courtroom No. 3, on Tuesday, September 12, at 11:50 a.m.							
10	APPEARANCES:							
11	On behalf of Commercial Realty St. Pete, Inc.:							
12	LAUREN A. COLBY, ESQUIRE							
13	10 East 4th Street Frederick, Maryland 21701							
14	On behalf of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:							
15	JOSEPH PAUL WEBER, ESQUIRE							
16	TERRENCE E. REIDELER, ESQUIRE 1919 M Street, NW							
17	Washington, D.C. 20554							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24	Hearing Began: 11:50 a.m. Hearing Ended: 2:37 p.m.							
25	Recess Began: 12:00 p.m. Recess Ended: 2:30 p.m.							

## PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE STEINBERG: We're on the record now. Let me just give a little spiel about what this is all about. This is the date that was scheduled to commence the hearing in WT Docket No. 95-26, involving the question of whether Commercial Realty St. Pete, Inc., or CRSPI, and its principals

James C. Hartley, Teresa Hartley and Ralph E. Howe should be barred from participating in future Commission auctions or from holding Commission licenses.

We met here -- I should say, we convened here -it's now 10 to 12:00 and we convened here around 10:00 and I brought up the subject of the possibility of settling this proceeding. And for the last almost two hours we've been having in essence settlement discussions and we think we've reached an outline of a -- of the settlement of this case. And at this point I'd like to put the outline on the record, at least preserve it for the record, and this is subject to being both -- the counsel for the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau has to, has to get approval from, from their superiors before they can enter into the agreement and when we recess at this time they're going to go and see if they can, if they can This is also subject to being put in the, in the formal language of an agreement of course, so the language that I'm using here might not necessary be put in the agreement. It's just I'm just trying to put the gist of it in

the record. 2 The first point is that Mr. Hartley, CRSPI -- when 3 I say Mr. Hartley I'm referring to CRSPI, Mr. Hartley and, and Mrs. Hartley, and I'm just using that as a shorthand term. 5 Mr. Hartley will, will agree not to bid in future Commission auctions or apply for any Commission authorizations for a 6 period of 10 years. However, he will, he will reserve the right to petition for reconsideration of that 10-year 9 exclusion, and exclusion is my word, it hadn't come up, after five years. And Mr. Hartley understands that the Commission 10 11 may grant the petition and allow him to apply or may deny the 12 petition. Is that correct, Mr. Hartley? 13 MR. HARTLEY: Yes, sir. 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: The second point is that 15 Mr. Hartley, in entering into an agreement, is not admitting 16 to any wrongdoing. The third point is that Hartley can pursue 17 all legal remedies available to him in connection with the 20 18 licenses that he won at the auction. And specifically what 19 we're talking about is the Commission issued a Memorandum, 20 Opinion and Order, FCC 95-367, released September 1, 1995, and 21 what we're talking about is basically pursuing that at the 22 Commission level and at the court level in the Court of 23 Appeals. 24 The fourth point is if Mr. Hartley or CRSPI lose

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

that lawsuit in the Court of Appeals, that they agree to pay

the default penalties as they are currently written in the Commission rules.

The fifth point is that -- and again, this is again is tied in with that Court of Appeals -- the prospective Court of Appeals case, is that the Commission -- if Mr. Hartley prevails in the Court of Appeals and the Commission has to make a decision as to whether or not to grant his applications for licenses for those 20 facilities, that the Commission may raise the issue of the party's fitness at the time the license applications are put on public notice. And you understand that also, Mr. Hartley?

MR. HARTLEY: Yes, sir.

JUDGE STEINBERG: The sixth point is that
Mr. Hartley and CRSPI will not seek relief under the Equal
Access to Justice Act. The seventh point is that Mr. Hartley
and CRSPI will make a voluntary contribution to the United
States Treasury in the amount of \$10,000, \$3,000 to come from
Mr. Hartley, \$3,000 to come from Mrs. Hartley, \$4,000 to come
from CRSPI and that the total amount will be -- the \$10,000
will be amortized over a period of five years and the first
payment will be due, I think we said, 60 days after an order
terminating this proceeding becomes final.

The last point, the last point -- the eighth point is that this settlement will have no effect on the notice of apparent liability which is --

1	MD COLDY, DCC					
	MR. COLBY: FCC					
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah, I'll get it. FCC 95-58,					
3	released February 16th, 1995. Now let me ask Mr. Hartley,					
4	does that does my summary accurately describe what you've					
5	agreed to?					
6	MR. HARTLEY: Yes, sir, everything except maybe just					
7	to explain the last point, number 8.					
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah.					
9	MR. HARTLEY: That's a separate issue that we're					
10	litigating at this point					
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, litigating					
12	MR. HARTLEY: or is it the same issue					
13	MR. COLBY: No, no					
14	MR. HARTLEY: that we're here today for?					
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: No, that's, no, that's totally					
16	separate from this case. That's the yeah, that's the					
17	notice of apparent liability which I have no jurisdiction					
18	over.					
19	MR. HARTLEY: And the litigation in that case, is it					
20	basically the same litigation though as this case?					
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: No.					
22	MR. COLBY: That case					
23	MR. HARTLEY: Just asking.					
24	MR. COLBY: that case would require a de novo					
25	trial in the United States District Court in Florida. That					

37

case cannot be resolved either by an appeal to the Court of 2 Appeals or by litigation of the Commission. If the Commission 3 affirms the notice of liability which we've contested, the 4 Commission would then have to bring an action against CRSPI, 5 the corporation, in the United States District Court either here or in Florida. It would be a de novo trial. 6 That means 7 new, from the beginning, all over again. 8 MR. HARTLEY: So, that may or may not occur? 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: Right. 10 MR. HARTLEY: It may or may not occur no matter what 11 happens here? 12 MR. COLBY: That's right. 13 JUDGE STEINBERG: Right, that's, that's independent 14 of this but I think it belongs in the settlement agreement to 15 clarify that. Mr. Colby, did I accurately summarize --16 MR. COLBY: Yes, you did, Your Honor. I just wanted 17 to explain to my client so I'd be sure he understood about the 18 notice of liability. 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Weber? 20 MR. WEBER: Yes, Your Honor, you did. 21 JUDGE STEINBERG: Now what we're going to do now is 22 Mr. Weber and Mr. Reideler will -- are going to -- after lunch 23 I presume going to go and -- see, they're -- let me explain to 24 They're in a different position from you. Mr. Hartley. 25 You -- they represent -- their client is the Chief of the

|Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and they basically have to get approval of this from their superiors, and they're going to go over there and try to do that now. And I just also --3 for the record, I have offered if, if Mr. Colby -- Mr. Colby, 4 5 you have no objection I think you stated, that if, if their 6 superiors want to call me and question me about what went on 7 here and about my, my feelings and my beliefs that, that they 8 can do that. Is that correct, Mr. Colby? 9 MR. COLBY: I think that would be very helpful, Your 10 Honor. 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: And I assure you that whatever --12 that, that not one word will be mentioned. I mean, I hope 13 this case does not have to go to hearing, but I can promise 14 you that not one word will be mentioned, not one word will be 15 mentioned by me over the phone about, about the merits of the 16 current litigation because I don't think that's proper. 17 superiors can call me about the terms of the settlement and 18 what I think about it. 19

The final thing is we've agreed to meet back here at 2:30 this afternoon. Hopefully, this settlement will be okay with the Bureau. If it's not okay with the Bureau then what we'll do is we'll start the hearing and we'll take the testimony of Dean Tyler and we'll do that today and then tomorrow morning we'll take the testimony of, is it Moore, Pedersen and Keesee?

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	MR. WEBER: Yes.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: And then
3	MR. WEBER: As well as Mr. Pedersen.
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah, Moore, Pedersen and Keesee.
5	We'll do that tomorrow. And then tomorrow we'll discuss
6	setting new dates for the rest of the hearing, because we
7	have, we have to reschedule a lot of witnesses and the four
8	witnesses that I've mentioned are, are out of town and there's
9	no sense in having them fly back here twice. Is there
10	anything that anybody wants to add? Hearing nothing
11	MR. WEBER: Just thank you very much.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: Thank you, and we'll be, we'll be
13	in recess until 2:30.
14	(Whereupon, off the record at 12:00 p.m.)
15	(Whereupon, on the record at 2:30 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## AFTERNOON SESSION

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE STEINBERG: We're back on the record, and let 3 me turn the floor over to Mr. Weber and he can tell us where we -- you know, where we are.

MR. WEBER: We have spoken to people in the Bureau. Right now the Bureau Chief is currently unavailable as she is out of the country and the Deputy Bureau Chief is not in the office today. However, discussions were had with him through his cellular telephone and he has requested a continuance until tomorrow morning, at least by 9:30 a.m., in order for us to be able to discuss further within the Bureau the ramifications of this settlement.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Colby?

MR. COLBY: I have nothing to add.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't we -- we'll reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:30 a.m., where hopefully we'll get something favorable. If, if we -- if something comes up and you can't get approval for the settlement then we'll start with the first witness, you know, as soon after 9:30 as we can.

Let me again -- I want to commend Bureau counsel and Mr. Colby and Mr. Hartley for your efforts at, at let's say settling this case. I mean, you know, I -- there's a lot of give and take in these things and I, and I just -- and I know nobody's entirely happy which is probably good and I just want

to, you know, thank you and, and commend you for, for your 2 efforts at, at getting this far and let's just keep our fingers crossed that we can go all the way with this. 3 4 There was something else I wanted to add. 5 when I gave my little spiel on the record this morning I 6 forgot to note that the appearances of counsel were the same as they had been throughout the case. Mr. Colby for CRSPI and 8 for Mr. Hartley and Mrs. Hartley, we've got Terrence Reideler for the Bureau and Joseph Paul Weber and we have no appearance 10 by Mr. Howe, so let the record reflect that. 11 So, we'll be in recess until 9:30 tomorrow morning, 12 and I should also say that if Mr. Vaughan wants to talk with 13 me about, about this and if he needs any explanation from me, you know, I'll make myself available and I'll be -- you know, 14 15 I'll be in the office. Usually I'm there between 7:30 and 16 8:00, depending on what time I roll out of the house. 17 MR. REIDELER: How about today, Judge? Are you 18 going to be there? 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Pardon me? 20 MR. REIDELER: Are you going to be there today? 21 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah, today I'll be here 4:00, 22 4:10, 4:15. I got to take -- well, we don't have to this on 23 the record, but I'll be -- you know, I'll be here then. 24 -- if you want me to stay later I'm willing to stay later. 25 don't care.

```
1
              MR. REIDELER: Well, just in case that --
 2
              JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah, okay. Yeah, you know, about
 3
    4:10, 4:15.
 4
              MR. REIDELER: Okay.
 5
              JUDGE STEINBERG: So then I have to leave at that
6
   time and catch, catch the subway to catch the bus. Because if
7
    I leave at 4:17 then I miss the --
8
              (Whereupon, matter adjourned at 2:37 p.m. on
9
    September 12, 1995.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Commercial Realty

Name			
WT 95-26			
Docket No.			
Washington, DC Place			
September 12, 199 Date	95		
true, accurate and reporting by Barl the above identifications of the professional verbal work and have veri comparing the type recording accompli	through through tomplete trans bara Lord ied proceeding, current Federal atim reporting a ified the accuratewritten transcripted at the procession transcripted transcripted at the procession of the accurate at th	in accordance communicated transcript again accordance again	inclusive, are the epared from the
9(16195 Date	Dames H. Lowell Free State Re		Transcriber Inc.
9/20/95 Date	Debbie Serio Free State Re	leniu	Proofreader
9/26/95 Date	Barbara Lord Free State Re	Gord.	Reporter